# IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

MKS INSTRUMENTS, INC. and APPLIED : SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY, INC. :

Plaintiffs, : Civil Action No. 00-1004-JJF

ADVANCED ENERGY INDUSTRIES, INC.

Defendant.

### SPECIAL VERDICT FORM

We, the jury, unanimously find as follows:

## I. INFRINGEMENT

ν.

1. Do you find that MKS/ASTeX has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Advanced Energy's Rapid product infringes any of the following asserted claims of the '628 patent? (As to each claim, a "YES" answer is a finding for PLAINTIFF MKS/ASTeX. A "NO" answer is a finding for DEFENDANT Advanced Energy.)

Claim	1	YES	МО
Claim	19	YES	МО
Claim	42	YES	ио

# Contributory Infringement

2. If you answered "YES" to claim 42 in response to question 1 above, do you find that MKS/ASTeX has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that Advanced Energy contributed to infringement of that claim?

(A "YES" answer is a finding for PLAINTIFF MKS/ASTeX. A "NO" answer is a finding for DEFENDANT Advanced Energy.)

Claim	42	YES	NO

### II. VALIDITY

## Obviousness

3. Do you find that Advanced Energy has shown by clear and convincing evidence that any of the following claims of the '628 patent is invalid due to obviousness?

(As to each claim, a "YES" answer is a finding for DEFENDANT Advanced Energy. A "NO" answer is a finding for PLAINTIFF

MKS/ASTeX.)

Claim	1	YES	NO
Claim	19	YES	мо
Claim	42	YES	NO

4. If you answered "YES" for any claim in question 3, list for each claim the prior art on which you relied:
III. DAMAGES
5. If you have found at least one claim of the `628
patent infringed and not invalid, do you find MKS/ASTeX has proven
by a preponderance of the evidence that it is entitled to lost
profits damages?
(A "YES" answer is a finding for MKS/ASTeX. A "NO" answer is a
finding for Advanced Energy.)
YESNO
6. If you answered "YES" to question 5, above, what amount of lost profits damages do you find MKS/ASTeX has proven by a preponderance of the evidence?
a preponderance of the evidence:

TRUOMA

7. If you have found at least one claim of the `628 patent infringed and not invalid and answered "NO" to question 5, above, what reasonable royalty do you find MKS/ASTEX has proven by a preponderance of the evidence?

ROYALTY	<u> </u>	
AMOUNT	\$	

京藝者等以外外衛軍 解心性不知 海等以及及大人

You each must sign this	Verdict :	Form.	
Dated:	_		
Jury Foreperson		Juror	
Juror ·	-	Juror	
Juror	-	Juror	<del></del>
		:	
Juror	<u></u>	Juror	